

MEETING WITH TOHONO O'ODHAM NATION LEADERSHIP

Thursday, April 13, 2017

1:30 – 2:30pm

RRB, Commissioner's Large Conference Room

Overview:

- Acting Deputy Commissioner Alles will be meeting with leaders from the Tohono O'odham Nation (TON), including Chairman (b) (6) and select members of the TON Legislative Council.
- The TON originally requested this meeting with Secretary Kelly and DHS leadership to discuss DHS/CBP's plans for tribal consultation regarding construction of a border wall on TON lands in southern Arizona.
- Additional topics may include the current status of the Integrated Fixed Tower (IFT) project proposed for TON land, as well as the relationship between that project and the border wall.
- The TON's support for the IFT project has become linked to the proposed border wall, and U.S. Border Patrol Tucson Sector leadership is concerned that the IFT project will be indefinitely delayed if the current Council does not approve the project before upcoming TON elections, scheduled for May.
- The Deputy Commissioner will be joined by leadership from DHS's partnership and engagement office, U.S. Border Patrol HQ, and the CBP Intergovernmental Public Liaison (IPL) office.

Discussion Points:

- As outlined in the DHS Tribal Consultation Policy, DHS and CBP recognize that agency policies and operations may impact Indian Tribes and remain committed to meaningful dialogue and cooperation with the Tohono O'odham Nation on issues with implications for the Nation.
 - As has been longstanding practice, DHS and CBP will consult TON leadership, at both field and headquarters levels, on potential construction of physical barriers on TON lands.
 - DHS and CBP are developing a plan for formal consultation, and are eager to hear the TON leadership's thoughts on this process.
- CBP remains committed to partnering with the TON on the Integrated Fixed Tower (IFT) project proposed for TON lands, which is an important effort to help safeguard the Tohono O'odham Nation and the secure the border.

Background:

- The TO governs approximately 4,400 square miles of land in south central Arizona. Sixty-two miles of the tribal land is on the U.S. border with Mexico.
- For nearly two decades, (b) (7)(E) been high on the TON lands. This is due, in part, to (b) (7)(E) as well as (b) (7)(E).

~~FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY~~

- While the number of arrests and seizures has been decreasing over the past few years, (b) (7)(E) on the Nation remains at high levels (*see attached, Table 1*).
- Public statements made by certain TON leaders, along with protests from small groups of TON members, indicate opposition to a border wall within TON lands.
 - TON Vice Chairman Verlon Jose was quoted in the media as saying, “Over my dead body will a wall be built” and a group of TON members protested the proposed border wall outside the Tucson office of Senator John McCain in late March.
- While USBP Tucson Sector leadership, CBP IPL, and DHS Tribal Liaison have regular meetings and calls with TON leadership to discuss ongoing issues, official communications from TON leadership have insisted on formal consultation on any border wall project:
 - In a letter dated March 9, 2017, TON Chairman Edward Manuel requested “Formal government-to-government consultation with Tucson Sector” on Executive Order 13767 including the construction of a “physical wall or any other barriers,” and “the relationship between the currently proposed integrated fixed tower project . . . and the construction of a border wall or other barriers and infrastructure.” (*see letter, attached*)
 - The April 2, 2017 meeting request sent by the TON’s representatives to Secretary Kelly stated, “The Nation’s efforts to consult with the Department and CBP regarding E.O. 13767 to date, however, have not resulted in the type of meaningful, government-to-government consultation that is appropriate and required by law and by the Department’s tribal consultation policy.” (*see meeting request, attached*)
- DHS Tribal Liaison has suggested a Federal Register Notice soliciting comment from Federally-recognized tribes with lands with proximity to the border as an option for formal consultation. No decision has been made on this option, nor has this been communicated to the TON.
- The Tucson Sector has been coordinating with the TON for more than ten years on Integrated Fixed Tower (IFT) deployment (*see attached, Figure 1*), a priority for improving situational awareness and more effectively deploying agents on TON lands.
- A simple majority vote of the TON’s Legislative Council is required to begin access road and tower construction. The Council is composed of two representatives from each of the Nation’s 11 districts (*see attached, Figure 2*).
 - However, Tucson Sector assesses that upcoming Council elections, scheduled for May 2017, could result in a Council makeup more (b) (5) and the IFT project. Sector leadership notes that (b) (5)
 - The Sector assesses that, (b) (5)

PRESS: Closed.

CBP/OPA Services Required: None

ATTACHMENTS:

- Supporting figures/table
- Letter from Tohono O’odham Chairman to Acting Tucson Sector Chief re. Wall Consultation
- Meeting request from Tohono O’odham Nation to Secretary Kelly

~~FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY~~

- National Congress of American Indians (NCAI) Resolution re. Border Wall
- Bio, Chairman Edward Manuel, Tohono O'odham Nation

PARTICIPANTS:

DHS/CBP Participants:

Randolph Alles, CBP Deputy Commissioner

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C) CBP CoS

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C) CBP OC Advisor

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C), Chief of Strategic Planning and Analysis, U.S. Border Patrol

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C), DHS Deputy Assistant Secretary, Office of Partnership and Engagement/IGA

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C), DHS Director, Tribal Government Affairs/IGA

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C), Executive Director, CBP Intergovernmental Public Liaison (IPL)

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C), Deputy Executive Director, IPL

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C), CoS, IPL

Tohono O'odham Nation Participants:

Chairman Edward Manuel

Legislative Council member (b) (6), Chair, Domestic Affairs Committee

Legislative Council member (b) (6), Chair, Appropriations Committee

(b) (6), Legislative Attorney

(b) (6), Acting Attorney General

(b) (6) US LLP

(b) (6) US LLP

(b) (6) US LLP

Staff Responsible for Briefing Memo:

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C), *Intergovernmental Public Liaison*, (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Building The Wall

The Strategy & Way Forward

March 27, 2017



Homeland
Security

~~PREDECISIONAL/FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY~~

Agenda

- Overview & Current Status
- Reprogramming Priorities
- FY 2017 Priorities
- FY 2018 Priorities



Homeland
Security

~~PREDECISIONAL/FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY~~

Wall Overview & Current Status

Per the President's Executive Order:

- “secure the southern border of the United States through the immediate construction of a physical wall on the southern border...”

Current state:

- (b) (7)(E) miles of fencing
 - (b) (7)(E) miles of pedestrian fence
 - (b) (7)(E) miles of vehicle fence
- (b) (7)(E) miles without border barrier
 - (b) (7)(E) miles are unsuitable for construction

(b) (7)(E)

- Remaining miles are being evaluated by USBP through the Capability Gap Analysis Process



Homeland
Security

~~PREDECISIONAL/FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY~~

Summary

Budget	Amount (in thousands)	Anticipated Outcomes
Reprogramming	\$20,000	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Multiple wall prototypes to inform border barrier toolkit & future construction efforts Wall design standards to expand the current border barrier toolkit Real estate and environmental planning and design for: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Levee wall and new border barrier system in Rio Grande Valley (RGV) Sector Completion of enforcement zone in San Diego
FY 2017 Amendment	\$999,000	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Continue real estate and environmental planning and design for high priority miles in the RGV and Tucson (TCA) and/or El Paso (EPT) Sectors Construct ~ (b) (7)(E) new miles of levee wall and border barrier system in RGV Construct ~ (b) (7) miles of border barrier in San Diego (replace secondary fence with wall) Construct ~ (b) (7) miles of border barrier in San Diego (replace primary fence)
FY 2018 Blueprint	(b) (5)	



Homeland
Security

~~PREDECISIONAL/FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY~~

Reprogramming



Homeland
Security

~~PREDECISIONAL/FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY~~

Acquisition Strategy – Wall Prototype

Why prototype?

- Industry-tested approach to define the best solution when considering a new product or methodology

Why two Requests for Proposals (RFP)?

- Maximizes industry's opportunity to bid
- Increases competition
- Encourages small business participation
- More defensible and consistent evaluation process – compares apples to apples

RFP #1 – (b) (7)(E)


RFP #2 – Unspecified Materials



Homeland
Security

~~PREDECISIONAL/FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY~~

Wall Prototype Schedule - ~\$ (b) (7)(E)



- Two parallel processes for two-phased evaluation and award for each RFP
 - Multiple awards result from each RFP

(b) (5)



Homeland
Security

PREDECISIONAL/FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY

Wall Prototype Location - SDC

Why San Diego?

- Allows CBP to evaluate:
 - Performance relative to underperforming legacy materials in the same location (b) (7)(E) in a single year)
 - Performance (b) (7)(E)
 - Prototype impact on operations in an existing enforcement zone
- Readily executable due to Federally-owned land



Homeland
Security

~~PREDECISIONAL/FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY~~

Prototype Construction Location



**Homeland
Security**

~~PREDECISIONAL/FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY~~

Blue strip indicates Border Infrastructure System location,
prototype construction location is bounded by *green* diamonds

Prototype Construction Location

(b) (5)

Expected
prototype
construction
location



Homeland
Security

~~PREDECISIONAL/FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY~~

Prototype Construction Location

Expected
prototype
construction
location

(b) (5)



Homeland
Security

~~PREDECISIONAL/FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY~~

Applying Sound Acquisition Management Practices to the Wall

- DHS and CBP are already executing the deliberative acquisition process called out in DHS Management Directive 102-01
- An Acquisition Review Board (ARB) occurred on March 20, 2017 to approve Acquisition Decision Event One (ADE-1).
 - At ADE-1, the Department reviews the broadly-defined requirements and authorizes more deliberative and detailed requirements definition, analysis of alternatives, and development of a program structure.
- The wall prototypes currently planned will support the analyses and activities that must occur between ADE-1 and ADE-2. CBP anticipates reaching the ADE-2A milestone in the summer 2017.
- Approval at ADE-2A will establish the Wall program as a formal “program of record” and authorize more complete development and deployment of Wall segments.
 - Specific deployments may be authorized in increments, if appropriate



Homeland
Security

~~PREDECISIONAL/FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY~~

FY 2017 Request RGV and SDC



Homeland
Security

~~PREDECISIONAL/FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY~~

U.S. Border Patrol Requirements

USBP is completing *a full spectrum requirements analysis* with the John's Hopkins Capability Gap Analysis Process (CGAP). The result of this process will identify priority locations for border barrier.

Key components of this process include:

- Questionnaire
- Station Data Capture
- Station Solution Prioritization
- Geospatial Information
- Master Capability Survey
- Threat Survey

Top 4 Priority Areas:

- Domain awareness
- Impedance & Denial
- Access & Mobility
- Mission Readiness



Homeland
Security

~~PREDECISIONAL/FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY~~

U.S. Border Patrol Requirements

Each Sector is gathering:

- Geographic Prioritization
- Investment Prioritization
- Bottom-up/Top-down reconciliation of solution sets
- Courses of Action (COA) development, analysis, trade-offs, recommendations
- Refinement of interactive Geospatial Planning Tool

(b) (7)(E)



Homeland
Security

~~PREDECISIONAL/FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY~~

FY 2017 Request Overview - RGV

While USBP is in the process of finalizing requirements for border barrier, we have preliminary identified needs in RGV.

- Construction of ~ (b) (7)(E) miles of levee wall in the (b) (7)(E) Station Areas of Responsibility (AOR)
- Construction of (b) (7)(E) miles of border barrier system in the (b) (7)(E) AOR
- Real estate and environmental planning and design of (b) (7)(E) additional miles of new border barrier system in (b) (7)(E) Station AOR and TCA and/or EPT (FY 2018 construction)



**Homeland
Security**

Why RGV?

- RGV is the (b) (7)(E)
- RGV Initial Requirements Document Identifies (b) (7)(E) Stations as Priority Stations
- Supported by Analysis

(b) (7)(E)

~~PREDECISIONAL/FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY~~

FY 2017 Priorities Map - RGV

(b) (7)(E)



Homeland
Security

~~PREDECISIONAL/FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY~~

FY 2017 Request Overview - SDC

USBP has also identified mileage in SDC for construction:

- (b) (7)(E) miles of enforcement zone in SDC in the (b) (7)(E)

AORs

- (b) (7)(E) miles of new border barrier (replacing secondary fence)
- (b) (7)(E) miles of border barrier replacement (replacing primary legacy landing mat)

Why San Diego?

- Readily executable due to Federally-owned land
- Supported by Analysis

(b) (7)(E)



Homeland
Security

~~PREDECISIONAL/FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY~~

FY 2017 Priorities Map - SDC

(b) (7)(E)



**Homeland
Security**

~~PREDECISIONAL/FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY~~

Blue strip indicates Border Infrastructure System, the location for new and replacement border barrier construction

19

FY 2018 Request RGV and TCA and/or ELP



Homeland
Security

~~PREDECISIONAL/FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY~~

FY 2018 Request Overview - RGV

While USBP is in the process of finalizing requirements for border barrier, we have preliminary identified needs in RGV.

- Construction of ~(b) (7)(E) additional miles of new border barrier system in the (b) (7)(E) Station AOR

Why RGV?

- RGV is the (b) (7)(E)
- RGV Initial Requirements Document Identifies (b) (7)(E) Stations as Priority Stations
- Supported by Analysis

(b) (7)(E)



Homeland
Security

~~PREDECISIONAL/FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY~~

FY 2018 Priorities Map - RGV

(b) (5)



Homeland
Security

~~PREDECISIONAL/FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY~~

FY 2018 Request Overview – El Paso and Tucson Sectors

(b) (5)

Why TCA?

(b) (7)(E)

(b) (7)(E)

Why EPT?

- Improve deficient impedance and denial capability and initiate same in critical areas where none exists.



Homeland
Security

~~PREDECISIONAL/FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY~~

FY 2018 Priorities Map – TCA & EPT

(b) (5)



Homeland
Security

~~PREDECISIONAL/FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY~~

BACK UP



Homeland
Security

~~PREDECISIONAL/FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY~~

25

The Enforcement Zone

(b) (7) (E)



Homeland
Security

~~PREDECISIONAL/FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY~~

The Enforcement Zone

(b) (7)(E)



Homeland
Security

~~PREDECISIONAL/FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY~~

Border Infrastructure System - SDC

(b) (7)(E)



Homeland
Security

~~PREDECISIONAL/FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY~~

Sample Border Barrier

(b) (7)(E)



Homeland
Security

~~PREDECISIONAL/FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY~~



Homeland Security

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

TOHONO O'ODHAM NATION
OFFICE OF THE
CHAIRMAN AND VICE CHAIRMAN

EDWARD D. MANUEL
CHAIRMAN

VERLON M. JOSE
VICE CHAIRMAN

O'ODHAM HA-WE-HEJED
"For the People"



March 9, 2017

Chief Patrol Agent (b)(6);(b)(7)(C), Acting Tucson Sector Chief
Tucson Sector Headquarters

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Tucson, AZ 85711

c/o (b)(6);(b)(7)(C), Special Assistant to the Chief

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C) @cbp.dhs.gov

SEND VIA EMAIL

Re: Initiating Consultation on Executive Order 13767 and Related Border Security
Proposals and Strategies on the Tohono O'odham Nation

Dear Acting Chief (b)(6);(b)(7)(C):

In response to the issuance of Executive Order 13767, "Border Security and Immigration Enforcement Improvements," on January 25, 2017, Secretary Kelly's February 20, 2017 memorandum, "Implementing the President's Border Security and Immigration Enforcement Improvements Policies," and CBP's February 24, 2017 solicitation for design and build of prototype wall structures in the vicinity of the United States border with Mexico, the Tohono O'odham Nation is requesting formal government-to-government consultation with Tucson Sector concerning the following:

- (1) Proposals and timelines for construction of a physical wall or any other barriers, infrastructure, or technology on the Nation's 62-mile southern border with Mexico;
- (2) The relationship between the currently proposed Integrated Fixed Tower project on the Nation, including related access and approach roads, and the construction of a border wall or other barriers and infrastructure;
- (3) The comprehensive study of the security of the southern border, including the current state of southern border security on the Nation, and the strategy to obtain and maintain complete operational control of the southern border that is to be completed within 180 days of issuance of Executive Order 13767; and
- (4) The application of agreements under section 287(g) of the Immigration and Nationality Act (8 U.S.C. 1101 *et seq.*), or otherwise, to authorize state and local law enforcement officials to perform the functions of immigration officers on the Nation.

Pursuant to the Department of Homeland Security Tribal Consultation Policy and underlying consultation executive orders and memorandums, DHS proposals and policies that have tribal implications for the Nation require "direct, timely, and interactive involvement" of the Nation's government "prior to adopting policies or taking actions." DHS Tribal Consultation Policy, II.B. and III.A. DHS's implementation of Executive Order 13767 and other border security measures on the Tohono O'odham Nation and the Nation's 62-mile international boundary with Mexico directly impact the Nation and therefore require advance consultation.

During our February 16, 2017 meeting with the Department of Homeland Security in Washington, D. C., Executive Director for Intergovernmental Public Liaison (b)(6):(b)(7)(C) advised me and the rest of the Nation's delegation that the Tucson Sector is responsible for evaluating the current state of southern border security on the Nation and will make recommendations for implementing Executive Order 13767, and that the Sector is primarily responsible for consulting with the Nation on these issues. I am therefore requesting that a consultation meeting occur within the next 10 days to ensure consultation is timely and the Nation's input is incorporated into DHS's 180-day report to the president and into other border security policies and proposals affecting the Nation. Please also advise if ICE or other DHS agencies are needed at this meeting to effectively consult on the issues identified above.

Thank you.

Sincerely,



Edward D. Manuel, Chairman

cc: Verlon Jose, Vice- Chairman, Tohono O'odham Nation, Verlon.Jose@tonation-nsn.gov

(b) (6), Chairman, Domestic Affairs Committee, (b) (6) .gov

(b) (6), Vice-Chairwoman, Domestic Affairs Committee, (b) (6) .gov

Legislative Council Agricultural and Natural Resources Committee

Legislative Council Appropriations Committee

Legislative Domestic Affairs Committee

(b) (6) Acting Attorney General, (b) (6) .gov

(b) (6), Legislative Attorney, (b) (6) .gov

(b) (6), Governmental Affairs Assistant, (b) (6) .gov

(b)(6):(b)(7)(C), Tucson Sector Tribal Liaison, (b)(6):(b)(7)(C) @cbp.dhs.gov

(b)(6):(b)(7)(C), Tucson Sector Tribal Liaison, (b)(6):(b)(7)(C) @cbp.dhs.gov

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Tucson, AZ 85711

MAR 10 2017



U.S. Customs and
Border Protection

Edward D. Manuel
Chairman, Tohono O'Odham Nation
P.O. Box 837
Sells, Arizona 85634

Dear Chairman Edward D. Manuel,

Thank you for your letter dated March 9, 2017, requesting formal government-to-government consultation with Tucson Sector. We appreciate the opportunity to meet with you and receive your input on the issues identified in your letter.

Pursuant to the Department of Homeland Security Tribal Consultation Policy, we hereby invite you to consult with us at the Tucson Sector Headquarters Building, (b)(6);(b)(7)(C), Tucson, Arizona 85711 at 10:00 a.m. on Wednesday March 15, 2017.

If you have any additional questions or concerns before the meeting, please do not hesitate to contact Special Assistant to the Chief Patrol Agent, (b)(6);(b)(7)(C) at (b)(6);(b)(7)(C). Thank you for reaching out to us and we look forward to meeting with you soon.

Very Respectfully,

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

(A) Chief Patrol Agent
Tucson Sector

MAR 22 2017



**U.S. Customs and
Border Protection**

Edward D. Manuel
Chairman, Tohono O'odham Nation
Post Office Box 837
Sells, Arizona 85634

Dear Chairman Edward D. Manuel,

Thank you for your March 21, 2017 letter concerning our March 17th meeting and your request for consultation regarding the implementation of Executive Order 13767 and the related border security proposals and strategies on the Tohono O'odham Nation.

In your March 21st letter, you stated that the purpose of the March 17th meeting was to discuss Tucson Sector's implementation of Executive Order 13767 and related border security proposals. You noted you were encouraged by agreements Tucson Sector made regarding not invoking Section 102, eradicating traditional border crossings, and providing access to state and local law enforcement under 287(g) agreements. We disagree with your characterization of the meeting. It is our understanding that the March 17th meeting was pre-consultative and designed to outline the consultation process that will be used moving forward. Additionally, Tucson Sector made no such agreements and lacks authority to make any of those promises.

In your prior letter of March 9, 2017 and your March 21, 2017 letter, you state that "Tucson Sector is responsible for developing the Executive Order 13767 180 day study and strategy on the Nation's border." As I stated in our in-person meeting, Tucson Sector is not responsible for this 180-day study, and strategy for the Nation's border. Tucson Sector is only identifying its areas' border security requirements to policy-makers in the Border Patrol Headquarters.

In your March 21st letter, you stated that Tucson Sector declined to provide details of the strategy for the Nation's border. During our discussion, I explained the types of requirements (i.e. physical barriers, technology, and manpower) that Tucson Sector will be identifying to our Border Patrol Headquarters' office in our upcoming submission. While I certainly understand the desire for specifics related to implementation of the Executive Order, at this time, no decisions have been made regarding implementation.

Moreover, your March 21st letter erroneously states that Tucson Sector's "brief to the Department of Homeland Security in Washington, D.C. is due on March 27, 2017." My requirements are due to Border Patrol Headquarters, which is a component of Customs and Border Protection (CBP). Any recommendations or requirements to the Department of Homeland Security would be made by CBP Headquarters' leadership.

However, we will agree to meet with you again to further consult concerning these issues and the others identified in your March 21st letter. We invite you to consult with us at the Tucson Sector Headquarters Building, (b)(6);(b)(7)(C), Tucson, Arizona 85711 at 9:00 a.m. on Thursday, March 23, 2017.

If you have any additional questions or concerns before the meeting, please do not hesitate to contact Special Assistant to the Chief Patrol Agent, (b)(6);(b)(7)(C). Thank you for reaching out to us, and we look forward to meeting with you soon.

Very Respectfully,

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

(A) Chief Patrol Agent
Tucson Sector



TOHONO O'ODHAM NATION
OFFICE OF THE
CHAIRMAN AND VICE CHAIRMAN

EDWARD D. MANUEL
CHAIRMAN

VERLON M. JOSE
VICE CHAIRMAN

O'ODHAM-HA-WE:HEJED
"For the People"



March 21, 2017

Chief Patrol Agent (b)(6);(b)(7)(C), Acting Tucson Sector Chief
Tucson Sector Headquarters

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C), Tucson AZ 85711

c/o (b)(6);(b)(7)(C), Special Assistant to the Chief

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C) @cbp.dhs.gov

SENT VIA EMAIL

Re: Tucson Sector Implementation of Executive Order 13767 and Related Border
Security Proposals and Strategies on the Tohono O'odham Nation

Dear Acting Chief (b)(6);(b)(7)(C),

Thank you for meeting with the Tohono O'odham Nation on March 17, 2017 to discuss Tucson Sector's implementation of Executive Order 13767 and related border security proposals. The Nation has supported numerous border security initiatives over the years while at the same time carrying out its obligation to ensure that such measures respect members' rights and the Nation's sovereignty over its lands and resources. The Nation hopes Tucson Sector will work just as closely with the Nation in carrying out Executive Order 13767.

The implementation of Executive Order 13767 is a federal action or proposal with a "substantial direct effect" that requires "meaningful" consultation, engagement, and collaboration with the Nation under the Department of Homeland Security Tribal Consultation Policy. The Nation is encouraged that Tucson Sector agrees it will not invoke Section 102 waivers for projects on the Nation's lands, will not "eradicate" traditional border crossings, and will not give state or local law enforcement access to Nation's lands through 287(g) agreements or otherwise without the Nation's authorization. However, Tucson Sector's unwillingness to provide any details about its implementation of Executive Order 13767 on the Nation is inconsistent with the government-to-government relationship between the Nation and the United States and the requirements of the Consultation Policy.

As we discussed, during a February 16, 2017 meeting in Washington, D.C., USCBP told the Nation's leadership that Tucson Sector is responsible for developing the Executive Order 13767 180-day study and strategy on the Nation's border and the Sector would provide the Nation with information on implementing these aspects of the Order. Executive Order 13767, Section 4(d). However, when the Nation's delegation repeatedly asked for details of the Sector's study and strategy for the Nation's border during our March 17, 2017 meeting, the Sector declined to provide this information other than disclosing that Tucson Sector's brief to the


Department of Homeland Security in Washington, D.C. is due on March 27, 2017. You reported only that the Sector is making "requirement identification determinations" without disclosing any of the "requirements" identified for the Nation's lands.

The Nation cannot provide the "input" that the Consultation Policy calls for without being provided "sufficient details" of Tucson Sector's border security study on the Nation and the resulting strategy to obtain and maintain complete operational control. Specifically, the Nation needs to know Tucson Sector's recommendations for constructing a physical wall on all or part of the Nation's boundary (which the Nation opposes by Resolution No. 17-053¹), additional vehicle barriers (which the Nation has generally supported), or if Tucson Sector is identifying requirements for technologies, airborne assets, roads, other infrastructure, increased manpower, and other measures as a means of implementing Executive Order 13767's directives. The timeframes for carrying out each of these proposals and actions will also allow the Nation to fully evaluate them and provide an informed response. The Nation is requesting a similar level of detail concerning the other items in my March 9, 2017 letter.

Because Tucson Sector's brief to the Department is due on March 27, 2017, the Nation is requesting an in-person meeting on March 23, 2017 at 9:00 a.m. at Tucson Sector to hear the details of, and timeframes for, Tucson Sector's Executive Order 13767 requirement identification determinations and other plans, proposals, and actions for the Nation's lands.

The Nation looks forward to your response. Thank you.

Sincerely,



Edward D. Manuel, Chairman

cc: Verlon Jose, Vice-Chairman, Tohono O'odham Nation, Verlon.Jose@tonation-nsn.gov
(b) (6), Chairman, Domestic Affairs Committee, (b) (6).gov
(b) (6), Vice-Chairwoman, Domestic Affairs Committee,
(b) (6).gov
Legislative Council Agricultural and Natural Resources Committee
Legislative Council Appropriations Committee
Legislative Council Domestic Affairs Committee
(b)(6);(b)(7)(C), Acting Assistant Secretary, Office of Partnership and Engagement, DHS,
(b)(6);(b)(7)(C) [@hq.dhs.gov](mailto:at@hq.dhs.gov)
(b)(6);(b)(7)(C), Office of State, Local & Tribal Liaison, CBP, (b)(6);(b)(7)(C) [@cbp.dhs.gov](mailto:at@cbp.dhs.gov)
(b)(6);(b)(7)(C), Assistant Chief, CBP, (b)(6);(b)(7)(C) [@cbp.dhs.gov](mailto:at@cbp.dhs.gov)
(b)(6);(b)(7)(C), Director of Tribal Affairs, DHS, (b)(6);(b)(7)(C) [@hq.dhs.gov](mailto:at@hq.dhs.gov)
(b)(6);(b)(7)(C), Executive Director Intergovernmental Public Liaison, CBP,
(b)(6);(b)(7)(C) [@cbp.dhs.gov](mailto:at@cbp.dhs.gov)

¹ Resolution No. 17-053, "Border Security and Immigration Enforcement on the Tohono O'odham Nation," www.tolc-nsn.org/docs/actions/17/17053.pdf.

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C), Tucson Sector Tribal Liaison, (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)@cbp.dhs.gov
(b)(6);(b)(7)(C), Tucson Sector Tribal Liaison, (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)@cbp.dhs.gov
(b) (6) Acting Attorney (b) (6) .gov
(b) (6) Legislative Attorney, (b) (6) gov
(b) (6) Governmental Affairs Assistant, (b) (6) .gov